

Discussion and reply: Ages of the Steens and Columbia River flood basalts and their relationship to extension related calc-alkalic volcanism in eastern Oregon

Discussion

Ajoy K. Baksi[†]

Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

Hooper et al. (2002) present stratigraphic, chemical, and field relationships for Tertiary volcanic rocks of eastern Oregon and conclude that (1) the Imnaha Basalt are ~15.5 m.y. old and (2) the Steens Basalt (ca. 16.6 Ma) represents the earliest eruptions of the Columbia River Basalt flood-basalt province. Critical scrutiny of published geochronological data (Baksi, 1989; Baksi and Farrar, 1990) indicates the Imnaha Basalt ca. 17.5 Ma is older than the Steens Basalt and the latter is equivalent in age to lower sections of the Grande Ronde Basalt. Herein, $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages are reported relative to the calibrations of Renne et al. (1998) and all errors are quoted at the 1σ level. I shall refer to the magnetostratigraphic units seen in the Columbia River Basalt; the main sections, consisting of the Imnaha Basalt and the Grande Ronde Basalt, were formed in five magnetic chrons. Magnetostratigraphic units are, in order of decreasing age, N_0 for the Imnaha Basalt, and R_1 , N_1 , R_2 , and N_2 for the Grande Ronde Basalt (Reidel et al., 1989; Tolan et al., 1989).

For the Imnaha Basalt, Hooper et al. (2002) list $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of 15.4 ± 0.2 and 15.5 ± 0.2 Ma; no details are available as to whether these are plateau or total fusion ages. The Imnaha Basalt is considerably older than ca. 15.5 Ma. $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ incremental heating results (Baksi and Farrar, 1990) yield an age of 17.5 ± 0.3 Ma. One of the authors whose work is discussed herein (P.R. Hooper) previously quoted an unpublished $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age of 17.5 ± 0.3 Ma for the Imnaha Basalt (Reidel et al., 1989, p. 25). Hooper et al.'s (2002) new $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages (ca. 15.5 Ma) are in agreement with K-Ar results obtained thirty years ago (Baksi and Watkins, 1973). These latter dates are

known to be ~10% too young (Baksi, 1989) based on evaluation of their alteration state. K-Ar dating of young (<10 Ma) whole-rock basalts generally leads to ages that are 5%–7% younger than $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ plateau ages (Baksi et al., 1993; Baksi, 1995). Minor alteration, undetectable on thin section examination, leads to ~5%–7% loss of $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$, lowering K-Ar dates an equivalent amount (Baksi, 1995). Hooper et al. (2002) reject McKee et al.'s (1981) K-Ar date of 17.2 ± 0.2 Ma for the Imnaha Basalt. This work involved crushed material that had been treated with dilute hydrofluoric acid, a procedure that is not recommended for whole-rock basalts (Dalrymple and Lanphere, 1969). However, there is no evidence that this technique produces dates that are too old. In the case of the McKee et al. (1981) work, if an age of ca. 15.5 Ma is correct, ~10% loss of K would have had to occur with no concomitant loss of $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ —an unlikely scenario. This author's unpublished data show that K-Ar work on HF-treated Tertiary whole-rock basalts generally results in dates that are too young.

Steens Basalt, collected from the type section on Steens Mountain, gives $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of 16.5–16.6 Ma. This is based on incremental heating studies on whole-rock material (Baksi and Farrar, 1990) and total fusion analysis of plagioclase separates (Swisher et al., 1990). This section shows a geomagnetic polarity transition from reversed to normal polarity (Watkins, 1965). Baksi and Farrar (1990) suggested that this is equivalent to the R_1 to N_1 transition seen in the Grande Ronde Basalt. The Imnaha Basalt was formed during an older normal (magnetic) chron.

Hooper et al. (2002) state that the Grande Ronde Basalt is between 16 and 15 Ma, quot-

ing ages from an internal report (Long and Duncan, 1982). A copy of the detailed results was obtained from Robert Duncan. Critical scrutiny shows that none of the ages cited for the Grande Ronde Basalt meets the statistical requirements of plateau and/or isochron ages (cf. Baksi, 1999). Recent $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages for the Grande Ronde Basalt are said to fall in the range of 16.1 to 15.5 Ma (Hooper et al., 2002). Sections of the Grande Ronde Basalt appear to be considerably older than this. A flow from the bottom of the N_1 magnetostratigraphic horizon gave a $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ plateau age of 16.5 ± 0.1 Ma (Baksi and Farrar, 1990). Since the R_1 magnetostratigraphic unit lies below this horizon, extrusion of the Grande Ronde Basalt commenced ca. 17.0 Ma. The older Imnaha Basalt must then be >17 Ma in age. Hooper et al. (2002) appear to suggest that the Imnaha Basalt (ca. 15.5 Ma) is younger than their oldest Grande Ronde Basalt (ca. 16.1 Ma). This contradicts field evidence that shows that the Grande Ronde Basalt overlies the Imnaha Basalt (McKee et al., 1981; Reidel et al., 1989; Tolan et al., 1989).

I conclude by noting that $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages on whole-rock material that meet all the statistical requirements of a plateau value (Baksi, 1999, 2002) may yield values younger than the crystallization age. Thus, Sutter and Smith (1979) reported plateau ages of 175 and 189 Ma on the Gettysburg Sill, northeastern United States, whereas the correct age is 201 Ma (Dunning and Hodych, 1990; Baksi, 2002). The alteration state of samples must be evaluated by monitoring the atmospheric argon content of the gas released in $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ incremental heating studies. If these are relatively high, the sites from which the $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ is derived show considerable alteration and yield incor-

[†]E-mail: abaksi@lsu.edu.

rect low step ages (Baksi, 1999, 2002). Numerous $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ analyses on the Imnaha Basalt appear to reflect this situation. The $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of ca. 15.5–15.7 Ma (Hooper et al., 2002) and K-Ar dates on altered whole-rock material (Baksi and Watkins, 1973) are ~10% younger than the crystallization value.

REFERENCES CITED

- Baksi, A.K., 1989, Reevaluation of the timing and duration of extrusion of the Imnaha, Picture Gorge and Grande Ronde Basalts, Columbia River basalt group, *in* Reidel, S.P., and Hooper, P.R., eds., *Volcanism and tectonism in the Columbia flood-basalt province: Boulder, Colorado*, Geological Society of America Special Paper 239, p. 105–112.
- Baksi, A.K., 1995, Fine tuning the radiometrically derived geomagnetic polarity time scale for 10–0 Ma: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 22, p. 457–460.
- Baksi, A.K., 1999, Reevaluation of plate motion models based on hotspot tracks in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans: *Journal of Geology*, v. 107, p. 13–26.
- Baksi, A.K., 2002, Critical evaluation of $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages for the Central Atlantic magmatic province; timing, duration and possible migration of eruption centers *in* Hames, W.E., McHone, J.G., Renne, P.R., and Ruppel, C., eds., *The Central Atlantic Magmatic Province: Insights from fragments of Pangea*: American Geophysical Union Monograph 136, p. 77–90.
- Baksi, A.K., and Farrar, E., 1990, Evidence for errors in the geomagnetic polarity time scale at 17–15 Ma; ton, Oregon and Idaho, *in* Reidel, S.P., and Hooper, P.R., eds., *Volcanism and tectonism in the Columbia flood-basalt province: Boulder, Colorado*, Geological Society of America Special Paper 239, p. 21–53.
- Renne, P.R., Swisher, C.C., Deino, A.L., Karner, D.B., Owens, T., and DePaolo, D.J., 1998, Intercalibration of standards, absolute ages and uncertainties in $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating: *Chemical Geology*, v. 145, p. 117–152.
- Sutter, J.F., and Smith, T.E., 1979, $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of diabase intrusions from the Newark trend basins in Connecticut and Maryland; initiation of central Atlantic rifting: *American Journal of Science*, v. 279, p. 808–831.
- Swisher, C.C., Ach, J.A., and Hart, W.K., 1990, Laser fusion $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of the type Steens Mountain Basalt, south-eastern Oregon, and the age of the Steens Mountain geomagnetic polarity transition [abs.]: *Eos (Transactions of the American Geophysical Union)*, v. 71, p. 1296.
- Tolan, T.L., Reidel, S.P., Hooper, P.R., Beeson, M.H., Focht, K.R., Bentley, R.D., and Anderson, J.L., 1989, Revisions to the estimates of the areal extent and volume of The Columbia River basalt group, *in* Reidel, S.P., and Hooper, P.R., eds., *Volcanism and tectonism in the Columbia flood-basalt province: Boulder, Colorado*, Geological Society of America Special Paper 239, p. 1–20.
- Watkins, N.D., 1965, Frequency of extrusion of some Miocene lavas in Oregon during an apparent transition of the polarity of the geomagnetic field: *Nature*, v. 206, p. 801–803.
- $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of basalts from the Pacific northwest, USA: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 17, p. 1117–1120.
- Baksi, A.K., and Watkins, N.D., 1973, Volcanic production rates: comparison of oceanic ridges, islands and Columbia Plateau Basalts: *Science*, v. 180, p. 493–496.
- Baksi, A.K., Hoffman, K.A., and Farrar, E., 1993, A new calibration point for the late Miocene section of the GPTS: $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ plateau ages from Akaroa volcano, New Zealand: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 20, p. 667–670.
- Dalrymple, G.B., and Lanphere, M.A., 1969, *Potassium-Argon Dating*: San Francisco, W.H. Freeman and Company, p. 189.
- Dunning, G.R., and Hodych, J.P., 1990, U/Pb zircon and baddeleyite ages for the Palisades and Gettysburg sills of the northeastern United States; implications for the age of the Triassic/Jurassic boundary: *Geology*, v. 18, p. 795–798.
- Hooper, P.R., Ginger, G.B., and Lees, K.R., 2002, Age of the Steens and Columbia River flood basalts and their relationship to extension-related calc-alkalic volcanism in eastern Oregon: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 114, p. 43–50.
- Long, P.E., and Duncan, R.A., 1982, $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of the Columbia River Basalt from deep boreholes in south-central Washington: Rockwell Hanford Operations Report RHO-BW-SA-223 P.
- McKee, E.H., Hooper, P.R., and Kleck, W.D., 1981, Age of the Imnaha Basalt—oldest basalt flows of the Columbia River Basalt Group, northwest United States: *Ischron/West*, no. 31, p. 31–33.
- Reidel, S.P., Tolán, T.L., Hooper, P.R., Beeson, M.H., Focht, K.R., Bentley, R.D., and Anderson, J.L., 1989, The Grande Ronde Basalt, Columbia River Basalt Group; stratigraphic descriptions and correlations in Wash-

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED BY THE SOCIETY 20 DECEMBER 2002

REVISED MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED 29 MAY 2003

MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED 7 JULY 2003

Printed in the USA

Reply

Peter Hooper[†]

Department of Geology, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164, USA

Baksi questions the ages of the various units presented in Hooper et al. (2002) and reiterates his belief that Imnaha basalt flows on the main Columbia Plateau are older than the Steens Basalt. In particular he questions two new ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages of Imnaha basalt flows from R.A. Duncan's laboratory at Oregon State University that are based on multiple step plateaus comprising >50% of gas released, concordant with isochron ages. In support of this position he quotes his own ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages (Baksi and Farmer, 1990). In part this is a disagreement between specialized dating laboratories and the best absolute dates must eventually be resolved between those laboratories. The relative ages, as opposed to the absolute ages, are the more important in the present context because they have a direct bearing on the evolution of the Columbia River flood-basalt province and hence the tectonic setting in which those massive eruptions occurred.

Baksi misleads his readers in stating that Hooper et al. (2002) "conclude that the Imnaha Basalt is ~15.5 m.y. old" and again that "Hooper et al. (2002) appear to suggest that the Imnaha Basalt (ca. 15.5 Ma) is younger than their oldest Grande Ronde Basalt (ca. 16.1 Ma)." Hooper et al. (2002, p. 46) actually said "we concur with R.A. Duncan (1999, personal commun.) that all Imnaha and Grande Ronde Basalt flows (>90% of the Co-

lumbia River Basalt Group on the Columbia Plateau) were erupted between 16.1 and 15.0 Ma." Obviously the stratigraphically older Imnaha Basalt flows lie at the older end of this range.

The evidence for the Steens Basalt being older than the Imnaha Basalt is based on both field stratigraphy and on the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages from three laboratories (Berkeley, Oregon State, and the Open University, UK) as opposed to ages from Baksi's single laboratory. In eastern Oregon the field stratigraphy is unequivocal (see also Camp et al., 2003); Lower Steens Basalt flows can be traced north from Steens Mountain to the Malheur Gorge where they are overlain conformably by the Upper Pole Creek basalt that correlates chemically and petrographically with the Imnaha Basalt, which has been traced south from the main Columbia Plateau to Farewell Bend on the Snake River and thence southwest to Malheur Gorge. Similarly, the Upper Pole Creek (Imnaha Basalt) of the Malheur Gorge is overlain conformably by the Birch Creek basalts that are the chemical and petrographic equivalents of the Grande Ronde Basalt, which has also been mapped south from the Columbia Plateau to Farewell Bend and then across to Malheur Gorge.

Aware of the discrepancies in ages from different laboratories and our own long-standing suspicions of the early K-Ar age for the Imnaha Basalt (16.9 Ma) in McKee et al.

(1981), whose original results were widely scattered, we wished to verify the new data from the Open University with another laboratory. Hence R.A. Duncan kindly duplicated analyses already dated by the Open University and also dated two new samples from the original Imnaha sections to correlate the Imnaha from the Columbia Plateau with his own earlier dates of the Grande Ronde Basalt from the same area. These were all 5–7 step incremental heating experiments that produced statistically significant plateaus (3 or more concordant step ages comprising >50% of total gas) and concordant isochrons. The new ages from Oregon State University agreed closely with those from the Open University (see Table 1 of Hooper et al., 2002, corrected in July 2002; Table 1 provided here with details of plateau and isochron ages, calculated using data reduction software ArArCALC [Koppers, 2002], relative to FCT-3 biotite monitor age of 28.03 ± 0.16 Ma [Renne et al., 1998]). The measured plateau ages for the Imnaha on the Columbia Plateau proved compatible with the older Oregon State University ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages of the Grande Ronde Basalt and ages for the Steens Basalt from Berkeley (Table 1; Swisher et al., 1990).

The further suggestion by Baksi that the magnetic reversal in the famous Steens Mountain section is equivalent to the R₁/N₁ reversal in the middle of the Grande Ronde Basalt sequence is equally unacceptable. The magnet-

[†]E-mail: peterhooper@beeb.net.

TABLE 1. ⁴⁰AR/³⁹AR RADIOMETRIC AGES FOR STEENS AND COLUMBIA RIVER BASALTS

| Sample | Material | Total fusion age (Ma) | 1σ error | N (steps) | Plateau age (Ma) | 1σ error | MSWD | Isochron age (Ma) | 1σ error | MSWD | ⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar initial | 1σ error | J |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|----------|------|-------------------|----------|------|--|----------|----------|
| Eastern Oregon | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MP050 | Whole rock | 12.92 | 0.18 | 4 | 12.75 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 12.48 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 301.3 | 6.7 | 0.001612 |
| BB080 | Whole rock | 13.47 | 0.23 | 6 | 13.87 | 0.19 | 1.60 | 12.77 | 0.69 | 0.28 | 306.4 | 7.1 | 0.001300 |
| MP028 | Whole rock | 13.99 | 0.16 | 4 | 13.61 | 0.16 | 0.85 | 13.36 | 0.34 | 1.01 | 299.4 | 5.0 | 0.001496 |
| WB104 | Whole rock | 15.41 | 0.28 | 6 | 15.29 | 0.29 | 1.41 | 14.47 | 0.99 | 1.49 | 300.3 | 5.7 | 0.001380 |
| WB134 | Whole rock | 15.76 | 0.15 | 6 | 15.39 | 0.09 | 2.07 | 15.26 | 0.11 | 1.25 | 315.3 | 11.7 | 0.001392 |
| SK078 | Whole rock | 15.76 | 0.15 | 5 | 15.82 | 0.15 | 1.54 | 16.01 | 0.31 | 1.8 | 291.5 | 5.2 | 0.001483 |
| Imnaha, Columbia Plateau | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BUK-1 | Whole rock | 16.26 | 0.44 | 6 | 16.31 | 0.28 | 0.70 | 15.85 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 302.4 | 9.7 | 0.001496 |
| BUK-5 | Whole rock | 17.07 | 0.94 | 3 | 15.38 | 0.91 | 0.58 | 11.90 | 3.91 | 0.27 | 307.9 | 12.5 | 0.001616 |
| BUK-7 | Whole rock | 15.99 | 0.27 | 3 | 15.61 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 15.51 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 300.2 | 17.9 | 0.001616 |

Note: Ages calculated using biotite monitor FCT-3 (28.02 ± 0.16 Ma) and the following decay constants; lambda epsilon = $0.581E-10$ /yr, lambda beta = $4.963E-10$ /yr. Table kindly provided by R.A. Duncan (2003, personal commun.).

ically reversed lower Steens Basalt as exposed at both Steens Mountain and Malheur Gorge is petrographically unusual in that it contains significantly more and larger phenocrysts than the overlying Innaha Basalt. The reversed-to-normal magnetic break recorded by Taubeneck at the base of the Innaha Basalt along the southern margin of the Wallowa Mountains (Carlson, 1984) and the similar magnetic break at the base of Innaha flows at Squaw Creek, north of Boise, Idaho, are associated with the same petrographic and chemical changes as those between the Steens and Upper Pole Creek flows at Malheur Gorge. Clearly, the Steens Mountain magnetic transition correlates with the Steens Basalt/Innaha (R_0/N_0) magnetic, petrographic, and chemical break to the north and east.

There can be little room for doubt about the relative ages of the various basalt units involved. Simple superposition of these units as mapped in the field dictates that Lower Steens Basalt is overlain conformably by, first, Im-

naha and then Grande Ronde Basalt, the center of effusive activity moving rapidly north from Steens Mountain where the eruptions began immediately above the supposed position of the hotspot. Absolute ages from three laboratories appear entirely compatible with this conclusion, emphasizing that >90% of the Columbia River Basalt erupted in the very short period between 16.6 and 15.0 Ma and that this sudden and massive tholeiitic effusion was superimposed on the long-standing (Eocene to present) calc-alkaline to alkaline eruptions that attended the equally long-lived east-west extension of the lithosphere in eastern Oregon and Washington.

REFERENCES CITED

- Baksi, A.K., and Farmer, E., 1990, Evidence for errors in the geomagnetic polarity time-scale at 17–15 Ma: $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of the basalts from the Pacific Northwest, USA: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 17, p. 1117–1120.
- Camp, V.E., Ross, M.E., and Hanson, W.E., 2003, Genesis of flood basalts and Basin and Range volcanic rocks from Steens Mountain to the Malheur River Gorge, Oregon: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 115, p. 105–128.
- Carlson, R.W., 1984, Isotopic constraints on Columbia River flood basalt genesis and the nature of the subcontinental mantle: *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, v. 48, p. 2357–2372.
- Hooper, P.R., Binger, G.B., and Lees, K.R., 2002, Age of the Steens and Columbia River flood basalts and their relationship to extension-related calc-alkalic volcanism in eastern Oregon: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 114, p. 43–50. With corrections to Figure 1 and Table 1 in *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 114, p. 923–924.
- Koppers, A.A.P., 2002, ArArCALC—software for $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age calculations: *Computers and Geosciences*, v. 28, p. 605–619.
- McKee, E.H., Hooper, P.R., and Kleck, W.D., 1981, Age of Innaha basalt—Oldest basalt flows of the Columbia River Basalt Group, northwest United States: *Ischron/West*, v. 31, p. 31–33.
- Renne, P.R., Swisher, C.C., Deino, A.L., Karner, D.B., Owens, T., and DePaolo, D.J., 1998, Intercalibration of standards, absolute ages and uncertainties in $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating: *Chemical Geology*, v. 145, p. 117–152.
- Swisher, C.C., Ach, J.A., and Hart, W.K., 1990, Laser fusion $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating of the type Steens Mountain Basalt, south-eastern Oregon, and the age of the Steens geomagnetic polarity transition [abs.]: *Eos (Transactions, American Geophysical Union)*, v. 71, p. 1296.

MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED 7 JULY 2003

Printed in the USA