Large Himalayan Frontal Thrust Paleoearthquake at Khayarmara in Eastern Nepal
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Highlights.

• Surface Rupture along the HFT in eastern Nepal 1060 – 1195 AD
• Magnitude of paleoearthquake approaching or surpassing Mw 9
• No record of rupture at site during Mw 8.4 Bihar-Nepal earthquake of 1934
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Abstract
An exposure created by excavation of a trench across the HFT provides the basis to interpret that a single earthquake produced vertical separation of ~7 m at Khayarmara between about 1059 AD and 1195 AD. The fault trace is marked by a topographic ridge resulting from accommodation of coseismic slip up dip and toward the surface by folding at the expense of greater fault slip taking place at depth. Comparison of the timing and displacement to that reported for paleoearthquakes identified elsewhere along the HFT is the basis to interpret the Khayarmara earthquake produced a surface rupture of at least 250 km length and an earthquake of magnitude approaching if not surpassing Mw 9. We observe in the exposure no record of surface rupture associated with the great 1934 Bihar-Nepal earthquake.

1. Introduction
The Himalayan Frontal Thrust (HFT) of eastern Nepal dips northward from its trace to merge with the Main Himalayan Thrust (MHT) that extends ~120 km northward to beneath the High Himalaya (Figure 1; Seeber and Armbruster, 1981). The MHT exhibits no evidence of aseismic slip and is generally considered to only slip during recurrent large earthquakes that function to accommodate ~20 mm/yr of convergence between India and Tibet (e.g., Bilham et al., 1997). The 2015 Mw 7.8 Gorkha earthquake was confined to a down dip section of the MHT and serves as the most recent illustration of this process (e.g., Avouac et al., 2015). Earthquakes much larger than the Gorkha event may be expected if the entire width of the MHT slips to produce surface rupture along the HFT. A number of geologic studies along the HFT of eastern Nepal assessing the age, size, and recurrence time of prehistoric earthquakes now provide support for the expectation (Figure 1 and e.g. Lave et al., 2005; Upreti et al., 2000; Wesnousky et al., 2017a; 2017b). We here add to these studies our findings arising from study of a trench excavated across the HFT adjacent to Khayarmara Khola in eastern Nepal. The paper concludes with a discussion of our interpretations at Khayarmara in the context of the previous studies along the HFT and the potential for great earthquakes along the section of the HFT southward of the recent Gorkha earthquake.
2. Khayarmara Site

The Khayarmara site is located ~7 km west and ~50 km east of earlier paleoseismic studies along the HFT at Marha Khola (Lave et al., 2005) and Bagmati (Wesnousky et al., 2017a), respectively, and south of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake aftershock zone (Figure 1). A photo and surficial geologic map showing the exact location of the site are in Figure 2. The southern of two traces of the HFT strikes eastward across the flood plain deposits (unit Qfb) of the Khayarmara Khola. Displacement along the southern trace has led to uplift and abandonment of older flood plain deposits (unit Qfa). Additional and older offsets are recorded along the northern trace by the uplift of a yet older strath of fluvial deposits (Qto) and Siwalik bedrock. A topographic profile across the transect shown in Figure 2 is presented in Figure 3 and illustrates the location and extent of the trench excavation in relation to the scarp profile. The abrupt surface expression of the scarp approaches 5 m in height. The crest of the south-facing scarp exhibits a distinct topographic bulge from which the elevation decreases northward into a smooth hanging wall surface that is inclined slightly northward. The bulge above the scarp and the inclination of the hanging wall northward are here interpreted to suggest that the fault beneath the profile is characterized by a degree of convex-upward curvature and that the total slip along the fault is increasingly accommodated up dip toward the surface by folding at the expense of fault slip taking place at depth (Figure 3).

3. Trench Stratigraphy, Structure, and Paleoearthquake Displacement

A sketch of the west wall of the trench exposure is shown in Figure 4 and photos of the exposure in Figures S1 of the Supplementary Information. The exposure is about 20 m long reaching a maximum depth of about 5.5 m. Unit 1 comprises much of the north half of the exposure. It is primarily poorly sorted rounded pebble and cobble gravel with occasional small boulders. Gravel layers and lenses are distinguished by distinct variations in clast size and define a south dipping fabric that generally parallels the upper contact of the unit. The lower southern contact of the unit is abrupt, dips ~16° to the north, and interpreted to be a fault contact that has emplaced Unit 1 over Unit 2, a generally massive brown very fine sandy silt deposit locally displaying a few percent coarse sand and small pebbles near the fault contact. Unit 2’ deposits
are similar in texture and color to those of Unit 2 and located on the sloping upper contact of Unit 1. The location of Unit 2’ deposits on the slope of the upper contact of Unit 1 has led to erosion and thinning of Unit 2’. A pit excavated on the surface above the exposure (Figure 3) shows a complete ~1.3 m section of the Unit 2’ deposits resting on gravel of Unit 1 (Figure 5). Resting on and in angular contact with Units 1, 2 and 2’ are generally horizontal deposits of Unit 3. Unit 3a is very fine sandy silt with concentrations of well-sorted fine sand that exhibit cross-laminae that impart a horizontal fabric to the unit. Unit 3b is generally poorly sorted rounded pebble and cobble gravel in which discontinuous beds and lenses of coarse sand also define a horizontal fabric. The Unit 3b interfingers with Unit 3a at its base. Unit 3c is in contrast primarily very fine sandy silt locally containing within and at its base very dark black organic clay rich horizons. Unit 3d is a pocket of gravel similar in texture to unit 3b.

A sketch and photos of the east trench wall (Figures 6 and S2) exhibits the same general structure and stratigraphy though is more limited in extent because portions of the trench wall collapsed. The exposure adds several observations important to interpretation. Unit 2 resides in depositional contact on fluvial gravels of the same texture as Unit 1 and together exhibit the same stratigraphy as observed in the pit shown in Figure 5. Additionally, Unit 2 is again observed to be faulted but in this exposure Unit 2 is observed to continuously wrap around the southern extent of Unit 1 to where it is also present on the south-dipping Unit 1 gravel. The observations give reason to interpret that the Unit 2’ deposits of the west wall exposure (Figure 3) and the capping fine grained deposits observed in the pit (Figures 3 and 5) are faulted continuations of Unit 2. The basal fault and overlying splay fault are essentially flat lying in this east wall exposure.

The stratigraphy and structure are very similar to exposures of the HFT elsewhere along strike and similarly interpreted: The fine grained deposits of Unit 1 and Unit 2 are interpreted to originally be flat lying and representative of a broad floodplain whereby fluvial gravel of the Khayarmara Khola system (Unit 1) is overlain by finer grained flood (overbank) deposits (Unit 2). Subsequent displacement on the fault exposed in the trenches has resulted in folding of units 1 and 2 on the hanging wall to produce a dip panel, and southward translation of the hanging wall to produce a duplication or repetition of Units 1 and 2 stratigraphy above fault plane.

Estimation of the exact amount of fault slip needed to produce the Khayarmara scarp is problematic because the exposure of the fault plane is limited and no direct measure of the fault
dip is available across the entire extent of the scarp. Assuming Unit 2 was the ground surface at the time slip initiated, the vertical separation across the scarp is 9-10 m when measured to the scarp crest or ~7 m when measured with respect to the unfolded surface north of the crest (Figure 3). The 9-10 m measure may reflect in part local shortening at the tip of the scarp, similar to that which occurs upon horizontally pushing the edge of a carpet. The 7 m measure is probably more representative of the vertical displacement due to translation along the fault. The dip of the fault in the trench exposures is from 0 – 16°. Assuming a fault dip of ~30° beneath the back tilted surface, as may be expected from simple frictional considerations (e.g., Anderson, 1951) though significantly greater than observed in the trench, displacement required to produce the uplift would need to be twice the observed vertical displacement, about ~14 m. The assumption of fault dips observed in the trench exposures would require yet more displacement to explain the observed vertical separation.

4. Radiocarbon Observations

The location of detrital charcoal samples collected from the trench exposures are displayed in Figures 4 and 6. Labels in the figures show the radiocarbon (14C yrs BP) and dendrochronologically corrected (cal AD) ages calculated for each sample. The locations include samples located in deposits emplaced prior (unit 2) and subsequent to (units 3) the fault displacement and deformation that produced the Khayarmara scarp and dip panel, and thus the means to place temporal bounds on the displacement. The ages of samples from units 2 and 3 are closely grouped, show overlap (lack of stratigraphic order between and within units) and generally fall within a close range of 900 to 950 14C yrs BP. The two observations limit the resolution to which the temporal bounds on the displacement may be placed. The period from 900 to 950 C14 yrs BP marks a relatively flat portion of the dendrochronological correction curve that exhibits multiple changes in slope (Figure 7). A 14C age within this range may correlate to multiple corrected (cal AD) ages between a relatively broader range of 1040 – 1160 AD. From simple inspection, the age of the displacement at Khayarmara appears to have occurred between the years 1040 – 1160 AD. An analytical report for each radiocarbon sample is provided in the Table S1 of accompanying Data in Brief.

The corrected ages of samples from Units 2 and 3 are shown in light gray in Figure 8 such that they are grouped below and above the displacement horizon: the boundary between faulted
and unfaulted deposits in the exposure. The ages within each group are arranged in the figure such that they are younger in age upward but not necessarily in strict stratigraphic order within the respective units. Application of Oxcal (Bronk, 2009; https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcal/OxCal) provides the means to estimate a set of model ages for each sample and the timing of the displacement horizon that is most likely, given the stratigraphic order of the samples. The program is applied here with the assumption that samples from units 2 and 3 comprise ‘phases’ whereby it is recognized that there is no firm information on the stratigraphic order of samples within each unit. The modeled ages are depicted in dark gray in Figure 8 and the age of the displacement horizon is computed between 1070-1195 AD. Corrected and modeled ages of a number of samples do not overlap significantly. Charcoal is generally 45 to 85% carbon by mass. A number of the samples have significantly less carbon yields (Table S1 of Data in Brief). Removal of these samples (marked –LY) and reapplication of Oxcal leads to a model whereby modeled ages generally agree with corrected ages and smaller time window for the event horizon estimated at 1059-1150 AD (Figure 8 and Figure S3 of Data in Brief). The low carbon yields might simply be due to retention of inorganic clays or sands making it through the purification protocol (Greg Hodgins, University of Arizona, Personal Communication). Inorganics such as do not necessarily affect the reliability of the 14C ages. Nonetheless, the removed samples are out of spec and the calculation illustrates that the total breadth of uncertainty attached to the calculation of a displacement horizon is not necessarily encompassed by the formal uncertainties provided by a single OxCal model.

Discussion and Conclusion

The folding that accompanies recent fault displacements in young sediments along the HFT is generally expressed by the presence of a dip panel similar to that preserved in the dipping Unit 1 at Khayarmara (2010; 2006; Figures 4 and 6; e.g., Kumar et al., 2001; Lave et al., 2005; Wesnousky et al., 2017a; 2017b). The folding is particularly well expressed at Khayarmara where the degree of shortening and folding is of such a large amount that the trace of the HFT is marked by a long linear ridge (Figures 2 and 3).

The 2015 Gorkha M7.8 earthquake rupture did not extend sufficiently southward to produce surface rupture along the HFT (Figure 1; e.g., Angster et al., 2015; Avouac et al., 2015; Hayes et al., 2015). It has been inferred that the nearby larger M ~8.4 Bihar-Nepal earthquake of 1934...
was the result of displacement on the MHT (Molnar and Deng, 1984). The presence of surface rupture on the HFT that may be attributed to the 1934 earthquake is not observed in the Khayarmara trench. Clear evidence for surface rupture on the HFT that may be attributed to the 1934 event is likewise not observed to be present at nearby sites of similar study at Sir Khola, Marha Khola, Bagmati and elsewhere along the HFT (Figure 1 and Lave et al., 2005; Wesnousky et al., 2017a; 2017b; 2018).

The stratigraphy, structure, and radiocarbon data point to displacement and formation of the Khayarmara scarp at between about 1060 and 1195 AD (Figure 8). Unit 2 is horizontal where exposed south of the dipping panel of Unit 1 (Figure 6). Unit 3 is in conformable depositional contact with Unit 2 and most simply interpreted as stratigraphy that has accumulated on and against the scarp after fault slip and formation of the Unit 1 dip panel. The horizontal fabric and beds of Unit 3 are devoid of any angular unconformities that might be attributed to tectonic deformation during its deposition and provide justification to interpret that the deformation and scarp at Khayarmara were formed during a single earthquake displacement.

The timing of the Khayarmara displacement is compared to the timing of the most recent surface ruptures previously identified elsewhere along the HFT of eastern Nepal in Figures 1 and 9. The radiocarbon ages reported for samples collected at each site are compiled in Figure 9: the calibrated and modeled ages of each sample and displacement horizon calculated with Oxcal are shown for each site. The formal uncertainties accompanying the displacement horizon estimates overlap around 1100 AD at Bagmati, Khayarmara, Marha Khola, Sir Khola and Damak, a distance of ~250 km along the HFT. A thrust earthquake averaging ~7 m of coseismic slip is on average expected to be associated with a rupture length approaching 400 km and a moment magnitude of 8.3 (Leonard, 2014). The vertical separation at the Khayarmara scarp is ~7 m and may be viewed as a minimum of the amount of coseismic fault slip required to produce the scarp, and thus the rupture length and moment magnitude of the earthquake that produced the scarp at Khayarmara may well have exceeded these values. Values of vertical separation across the scarps produced by the most recent displacements at Bagmati (~7 m), Marha Khola (~ 7 m), and Damak (~5.5 m) are of similar size (Lave et al., 2005; Wesnousky et al., 2017a; Wesnousky et al., 2017b). In this context, it seems most likely that this ~250 km section of the HFT ruptured simultaneously sometime around ~1100 AD. The displacement horizon at Hokse does not overlap in time with all of the preceding sites and might be cited to suggest the proposed ~1100
AD rupture did not continue another 40 km eastward of Damak. The displacement horizon at Hokse though is based on a very limited number of radiocarbon ages and is critically dependent on a single sample (HW04) that is ambiguously presented as faulted in the original publications of Upreti et al. (2007; 2000) (Figures S4 and accompanying discussion in Data in Brief). It is thus difficult to confidently rule out that Hokse did not rupture simultaneously in ~1100 AD with the sites to the west, or that rupture did not continue yet farther to the east of Hokse. In contrast, the radiocarbon observations reported by Wesnousky et al. (2017a) at Tribeni, ~160 km west of Bagmati, seem to clearly preclude the occurrence of displacement prior to ~1200 AD.

The radiocarbon data by themselves certainly do not prove the occurrence of simultaneous rupture at sites extending ~250 km from Bagmati to Damak. Our assertion that at least ~250 km of the arc ruptured simultaneously is influenced, in addition to the large displacements recorded in the trenches, by the tectonic setting and independent geodetic analyses. The continuous ~2500 km long arc that defines the Himalayan thrust front is of the same form as observed along the globe’s major oceanic thrust boundaries (e.g., Molnar et al., 1977; Seeber and Armbruster, 1981), most if not all of which have produced magnitude 8 or 9 thrust earthquakes. Likewise, it has also been concluded that explanation of the ongoing pattern of geodetic strain accumulation along the MHT of Nepal requires the recurrence of magnitude Mw 9.0 events every thousand years or so (e.g., Stevens and Avouac, 2016). The geologic data reported here are in concert with these ideas and observations.
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Figure 1. Location of Khayarmara (red star) in relationship to other paleoearthquake study sites (green stars) along the HFT of eastern Nepal. Sites are labeled to show age of the last large surface displacement and authors reporting the results. Aftershock (yellow dots), mainshock and largest aftershock locations (orange stars) of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake are from NSC (2015). Relationship of the HFT to the Main Himalayan Frontal Thrust (MHT) is shown in the inset. Interpretations of geodesy indicate that displacement on the MHT accommodates on average ~20 mm/yr of north-south shortening (e.g., Stevens and Avouac, 2015). See text for further discussion.
Figure 2. (upper) Annotated oblique photo and (lower) surficial geologic map of Khayarmara trench site. See text for unit descriptions. Qyf are youngest flood plain deposits. Orientation and breadth of photo shown approximately by open end of angle symbol on map. Trace of HFT on map depicted by solid black lines with triangles on hanging wall. Contour interval is 2 m constructed from SRTM 1 Arc-Second Global data downloaded from http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov).
Figure 3. Elevation profile (black dots) and length and depth extent of trench (colored area). Dashed lines (e.g. layer boundaries) and arrows (slip on fault) schematically illustrate idea that the total slip along the fault is increasingly accommodated up dip and near the surface by folding at the expense of greater fault slip taking place at depth. Location of trench and profile marked in Figure 2. Photo of pit provided in Figure 5. Flood deposits on foot and hanging wall interpreted to have been contiguous prior to displacement. Vertical resolution of the profile points is < ~2 cm. Vertical scale measured from surface of flood deposits on footwall.
Figure 4. Sketch of west wall of trench exposure. Units are numbered sequentially from oldest to youngest. The fault is shown by thick black line, and locations and ages of detrital charcoal samples are shown by small red stars and associated labels, respectively.
Figure 5. Pit exposure reveals light brown very fine sandy silt deposits capped by dark brown organic rich active soil horizon resting on coarse sand, pebble, and small cobble river gravel. Location of pit is on surface of fault and fold scarp is shown in Figures 2 and 3. Detrital charcoal samples P1 and P3 were sampled at 13 and 20 cm above the underlying fluvial gravel layer, respectively.
Figure 6. Sketch of east wall of trench exposure. Units numbers are labeled sequentially from oldest to youngest and match those in Figure 4. Fault strands are shown by thick black lines, and locations and ages of detrital charcoal samples are shown by small red stars and associated labels, respectively.
Figure 7. Portions of IntCal13 atmospheric (dendrochronological) correction curve of Reimer et al. (2013). Radiocarbon ages of detrital charcoal samples bounding the displacement horizon at Khayarmara are largely within the range of 900 – 950 14C yrs. The range and attendant uncertainty of dendrochronologically corrected ages is greater for samples with 14C yrs between 900 – 950 14C yrs because the IntCal13 atmospheric curve is relatively level and exhibits slope reversals.
Figure 8. Radiocarbon age probability density distributions of detrital charcoal samples are arranged in general stratigraphic order above and below the displacement horizon. Sample numbers and the horizon from which they were sampled are shown at the left of the plot. Calibrated and modeled ages and the age of the displacement horizon are calculated with Oxcal (https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcal/OxCal) and the IntCal13 atmospheric curve of Reimer et al. (2013). Samples marked LY exhibited low yield of organic carbon in processing. Calculations of the displacement horizon are shown for both the entire data set and with low-yield (-LY) samples removed. The shaded bar delimits the age range for the displacement horizon. Modeled ages for respective samples correspond to OxCal model including entire data set.
Figure 9. Compilation of radiocarbon observations at each of the paleoearthquake study sites along the HFT shown in Figure 1. See Figure 8 caption for explanation. Shaded bars provided to aid comparison of timing of displacement horizons between sites.